

# A Unified Approach to Jordan's Formula, Inclusion-exclusion Principle and Bonferroni Inequalities

Jay Cheng

Department of Electrical Engineering

National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan 30013, R.O.C.

jcheng@ee.nthu.edu.tw

## Abstract

For  $n$  given events in a probability space and  $1 \leq r \leq n$ , we first give a Bonferroni-type formula and a class of *sharp* Bonferroni-type inequalities for the probability that exactly  $r$  out of the  $n$  given events occur and for the probability that at least  $r$  out of the  $n$  given events occur. Then we show that our Bonferroni-type formulae and inequalities serve as a unified method for obtaining the well-known Jordan's formula, the generalized inclusion-exclusion principle, and the classical Bonferroni inequalities.

**Keywords.** Bonferroni inequalities, inclusion-exclusion principle, Jordan's formula.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  be a probability space, where  $\Omega$  is the sample space underlying the probability space,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of the sample space  $\Omega$ , and  $P$  is a probability measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  be events in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and let  $A_I = \cap_{i \in I} A_i$  be the event that the events  $A_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , occur for all  $I \subseteq [n]$  such that  $I \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $S_k$  be the  $k$ th *binomial moment* of the events  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  that is given by [1]

$$S_k = \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq [n] \\ |I|=k}} P(A_I), \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n. \quad (1)$$

Let  $E_r$  and  $F_r$  be the events that exactly  $r$  events and at least  $r$  events, respectively, out of the  $n$  events  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  occur for  $r \geq 1$ . Note that the events  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n$  are pairwise disjoint and  $F_r = \cup_{\ell=r}^n E_\ell$  for  $1 \leq r \leq n$ . For  $r > n$ , it is clear that  $P(E_r) = P(F_r) = 0$ . For  $1 \leq r \leq n$ , the probability  $P(E_r)$  of the event  $E_r$  can be expressed in terms of the binomial moments  $S_r, S_{r+1}, \dots, S_n$  as given in the well-known Jordan's formula (1867) as follows [2]:

$$P(E_r) = \sum_{k=r}^n (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k}{r} S_k, \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq n. \quad (2)$$

For  $1 \leq r \leq n$ , the probability  $P(F_r)$  of the event  $F_r$  can also be expressed in terms of the binomial moments  $S_r, S_{r+1}, \dots, S_n$  as given in the generalized inclusion-exclusion principle as follows [3]:

$$P(F_r) = \sum_{k=r}^n (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k-1}{r-1} S_k, \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq n. \quad (3)$$

For the special case that  $r = 1$ , the generalized inclusion-exclusion principle in (3) reduces to the classical inclusion-exclusion principle for the probability of the union  $\cup_{i=1}^n A_i$  of the events  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  (note that

$F_1 = \cup_{i=1}^n A_i$ ) as follows [4]–[6]:

$$P(\cup_{i=1}^n A_i) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} S_k. \quad (4)$$

Note that the classical inclusion-exclusion principle in (4) originates from the idea of Abraham de Moivre (1718) [4], and is also known as Da Silva's formula (1854) [5] or Sylvester's formula (1883) [6].

To compute the probability  $P(E_r)$  by using (2) or the probability  $P(F_r)$  by using (3), we need to compute the binomial moments  $S_r, S_{r+1}, \dots, S_n$  as given in (1). However, since it is clear that the number of terms in (1) grows exponentially with  $n$ , in practice such an approach for the computation of  $P(E_r)$  or  $P(F_r)$  may not be feasible as  $n$  becomes large. In many realistic applications, a few binomial moments are either known/given or can be computed/estimated from historical data. By using the binomial moments available, say  $S_r, S_{r+1}, \dots, S_m$  are available, where  $1 \leq r \leq m \leq n$ , the classical Bonferroni inequalities (1936) [7] (also see [1]) give upper and lower bounds for  $P(E_r)$  and  $P(F_r)$  in terms of  $S_r, S_{r+1}, \dots, S_m$  as follows:

$$P(E_r) \begin{cases} \leq \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k}{r} S_k, & \text{if } m-r \text{ is even,} \\ \geq \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k}{r} S_k, & \text{if } m-r \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

and

$$P(F_r) \begin{cases} \leq \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k-1}{r-1} S_k, & \text{if } m-r \text{ is even,} \\ \geq \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k-1}{r-1} S_k, & \text{if } m-r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Note that for the special case that  $r = 1$ , the Bonferroni inequalities in (6) reduce to the classical inclusion-exclusion inequalities for the probability  $P(\cup_{i=1}^n A_i)$  of the union  $\cup_{i=1}^n A_i$  of the events  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  [4]–[6].

In this paper, we present a unified approach to Jordan's formula in (2), the generalized inclusion-exclusion principle in (3), and the classical Bonferroni inequalities in (5) and (6). We first give a Bonferroni-type formula for the probability  $P(E_r)$ , and show that it subsumes Jordan's formula in (2) as a special case. Our formula for  $P(E_r)$  leads to a class of sharp Bonferroni-type inequalities for  $P(E_r)$ , which improve on the Bonferroni inequalities in (5). Furthermore, our sharp inequalities for  $P(E_r)$  not only subsume the Bonferroni inequalities in (5) as a special case, but also give rise to a necessary and sufficient condition for the inequalities in (5) to hold with equality. Then we give a Bonferroni-type formula for the probability  $P(F_r)$  that subsumes the generalized inclusion-exclusion principle in (3) as a special case. We also give a class of sharp Bonferroni-type inequalities for  $P(F_r)$ , which not only improve on and subsume as a special case the Bonferroni inequalities in (6), but also give rise to a necessary and sufficient condition for the inequalities in (6) to hold with equality.

## 2 The Unified Approach

In the following theorem, we give our Bonferroni-type formula and a class of sharp Bonferroni-type inequalities for the probability  $P(E_r)$  of the event  $E_r$ .

**Theorem 1** Suppose  $1 \leq r \leq m \leq n$ .

(i) The probability  $P(E_r)$  of the event  $E_r$  that exactly  $r$  events out of the  $n$  events  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  occur can be expressed in terms of  $S_r, S_{r+1}, \dots, S_m$  and  $P(E_{m+1}), P(E_{m+2}), \dots, P(E_n)$  as given in the following Bonferroni-type formula:

$$P(E_r) = \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k}{r} S_k + (-1)^{m-r+1} \sum_{\ell=m+1}^n \binom{\ell}{r} \binom{\ell-r-1}{m-r} P(E_\ell). \quad (7)$$

(ii) Let  $[m+1, n] = \{m+1, m+2, \dots, n\}$ . For each  $J \subseteq [m+1, n]$ , the probability  $P(E_r)$  of the event  $E_r$  can be bounded as given in the following Bonferroni-type inequalities:

$$P(E_r) \begin{cases} \leq \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k}{r} S_k - \sum_{\ell \in J} \binom{\ell}{r} \binom{\ell-r-1}{m-r} P(E_\ell), & \text{if } m-r \text{ is even,} \\ \geq \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k}{r} S_k + \sum_{\ell \in J} \binom{\ell}{r} \binom{\ell-r-1}{m-r} P(E_\ell), & \text{if } m-r \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where the inequalities in (8) hold with equality if and only if  $P(E_\ell) = 0$  for all  $\ell \in [m+1, n] \setminus J$ .

**Remark 2** (i) Jordan's formula in (2) is a special case of our Bonferroni-type formula in (7). To see this, consider the special case that  $m = n - 1$ . Then we see from  $P(E_n) = P(A_{[n]}) = S_n$  that (7) reduces to (2) as follows:

$$P(E_r) = \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k}{r} S_k + (-1)^{n-r} \binom{n}{r} P(E_n) = \sum_{k=r}^n (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k}{r} S_k.$$

Alternatively, consider the special case that  $A_i = \emptyset$  for all  $m+1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then we have  $P(E_\ell) = 0$  for all  $m+1 \leq \ell \leq n$ , and hence (7) reduces to

$$P(E_r) = \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k}{r} S_k. \quad (9)$$

By removing all the terms in the  $S_k$ 's in (9) involving  $A_{m+1}, A_{m+2}, \dots, A_n$  that have no contributions to the values of the  $S_k$ 's in (9), we obtain (2) (with  $n$  in (2) replaced by  $m$ ).

(ii) It is easy to see from  $P(E_\ell) \geq 0$  for all  $1 \leq \ell \leq n$  that our Bonferroni-type inequalities in (8) improve on the classical Bonferroni inequalities in (5). Furthermore, for the special case that  $J = \emptyset$ , our inequalities in (8) reduce to the inequalities in (5), and the inequalities in (5) hold with equality if and only if  $P(E_\ell) = 0$  for all  $m+1 \leq \ell \leq n$ , or, equivalently,  $P(F_{m+1}) = 0$ .

**(Proof of Theorem 1)** (i) Let  $B_J = (\cap_{j \in J} A_j) \cap (\cap_{j \in [n] \setminus J} A_j^c)$  be the event that exactly the events  $A_j$ ,  $j \in J$ , among the events  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  occur for all  $J \subseteq [n]$ . It is clear that the events  $B_J$ ,  $J \subseteq [n]$ , are pairwise disjoint and  $\cup_{J \subseteq [n]} B_J = \Omega$ . It is also clear that  $A_I = \cup_{I \subseteq J \subseteq [n]} B_J = \cup_{\ell=|I|}^n \cup_{I \subseteq J \subseteq [n], |J|=\ell} B_J$  for all  $I \subseteq [n]$  such that  $I \neq \emptyset$ , and  $E_\ell = \cup_{J \subseteq [n], |J|=\ell} B_J$  for all  $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ .

In our proof, we will use the following identity from [1] (which can be obtained by first writing  $\binom{k}{r} \binom{\ell}{k} = \binom{\ell}{r} \binom{\ell-r}{k-r}$ , and then using binomial theorem for the case that  $m = \ell$ , and writing  $\binom{\ell-r}{k-r} = \binom{\ell-r-1}{k-r-1} + \binom{\ell-r-1}{k-r}$  for  $r+1 \leq k \leq m$  and telescoping for the case that  $m \leq \ell-1$ ):

$$\sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k}{r} \binom{\ell}{k} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } r < m = \ell, \\ 1, & \text{if } r = m = \ell, \\ (-1)^{m-r} \binom{\ell}{r} \binom{\ell-r-1}{m-r}, & \text{if } m \leq \ell-1. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Now we obtain (7) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k}{r} S_k \\ &= \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k}{r} \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq [n] \\ |I|=k}} \left( \sum_{\ell=k}^n \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq J \subseteq [n] \\ |J|=\ell}} P(B_J) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\ell=r}^m \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq [n] \\ |J|=\ell}} \left( \sum_{k=r}^{\ell} (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k}{r} \binom{\ell}{k} \right) P(B_J) + \sum_{\ell=m+1}^n \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq [n] \\ |J|=\ell}} \left( \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k}{r} \binom{\ell}{k} \right) P(B_J) \quad (11) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq [n] \\ |J|=r}} 1 \cdot P(B_J) + \sum_{\ell=r+1}^m \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq [n] \\ |J|=\ell}} 0 \cdot P(B_J) + \sum_{\ell=m+1}^n \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq [n] \\ |J|=\ell}} (-1)^{m-r} \binom{\ell}{r} \binom{\ell-r-1}{m-r} P(B_J) \\
&= P(E_r) + (-1)^{m-r} \sum_{\ell=m+1}^n \binom{\ell}{r} \binom{\ell-r-1}{m-r} P(E_\ell),
\end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from (1) and  $P(A_I) = P(\bigcup_{\ell=|I|}^n \bigcup_{J \subseteq [n], |J|=\ell} B_J) = \sum_{\ell=|I|}^n \sum_{J \subseteq [n], |J|=\ell} P(B_J)$  for all  $I \subseteq [n]$  such that  $I \neq \emptyset$ , the third equality follows from (10), and the last equality follows from  $P(E_\ell) = P(\bigcup_{J \subseteq [n], |J|=\ell} B_J) = \sum_{J \subseteq [n], |J|=\ell} P(B_J)$  for all  $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ .

(ii) Since  $P(E_\ell) \geq$  for all  $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ , it is clear that (ii) follows from (i).  $\blacksquare$

In the following theorem, we give our Bonferroni-type formula and a class of sharp Bonferroni-type inequalities for the probability  $P(F_r)$  of the event  $F_r$ .

**Theorem 3** Suppose  $1 \leq r \leq m \leq n$ .

(i) The probability  $P(F_r)$  of the event  $F_r$  that at least  $r$  events out of the  $n$  events  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  occur can be expressed in terms of  $S_r, S_{r+1}, \dots, S_m$  and  $P(E_{m+1}), P(E_{m+2}), \dots, P(E_n)$  as given in the following Bonferroni-type formula:

$$P(F_r) = \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k-1}{r-1} S_k + (-1)^{m-r+1} \sum_{\ell=m+1}^n \sum_{u=m}^{\ell-1} \binom{u}{r-1} \binom{u-r}{m-r} P(E_\ell). \quad (12)$$

(ii) For each  $J \subseteq [m+1, n]$ , the probability  $P(F_r)$  of the event  $F_r$  can be bounded as given in the following Bonferroni-type inequalities:

$$P(F_r) \begin{cases} \leq \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k-1}{r-1} S_k - \sum_{\ell \in J} \sum_{u=m}^{\ell-1} \binom{u}{r-1} \binom{u-r}{m-r} P(E_\ell), & \text{if } m-r \text{ is even,} \\ \geq \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k-1}{r-1} S_k + \sum_{\ell \in J} \sum_{u=m}^{\ell-1} \binom{u}{r-1} \binom{u-r}{m-r} P(E_\ell), & \text{if } m-r \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where the inequalities in (13) hold with equality if and only if  $P(E_\ell) = 0$  for all  $\ell \in [m+1, n] \setminus J$ .

We make the following remark that is similar to Remark 2:

**Remark 4** (i) The generalized inclusion-exclusion principle in (3) is a special case of our Bonferroni-type formula in (12).

(ii) Our Bonferroni-type inequalities in (13) improve on the classical Bonferroni inequalities in (6). Furthermore, our inequalities in (13) subsume the inequalities in (6) as a special case, and the inequalities in (6) hold with equality if and only if  $P(F_{m+1}) = 0$ .

We need the following lemma for the proof of Theorem 3.

**Lemma 5** Suppose  $1 \leq r \leq m \leq \ell$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k-1}{r-1} \binom{\ell}{k} = 1 + (-1)^{m-r} \sum_{u=m}^{\ell-1} \binom{u}{r-1} \binom{u-r}{m-r}. \quad (14)$$

**Proof.** We prove Lemma 5 by induction on  $\ell$ . First consider the base case that  $\ell = 1$ . Suppose  $1 \leq r \leq m \leq \ell$ . Then we have  $r = m = \ell = 1$ , and hence the LHS of (14) is equal to 1. Thus, Lemma 5 holds for the base case that  $\ell = 1$ .

Now assume as the induction hypothesis that Lemma 5 holds for some  $\ell - 1 \geq 1$ . Suppose  $1 \leq r \leq m \leq \ell$ . We consider the following three cases:

*Case 1:*  $r = m = \ell$ . In this case, the LHS of (14) is equal to 1. Thus, Lemma 5 holds in this case.

*Case 2:*  $r < m = \ell$ . In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k-1}{r-1} \binom{\ell}{k} &= \sum_{k=r}^{\ell} (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k-1}{r-1} \binom{\ell-1}{k-1} + \sum_{k=r}^{\ell-1} (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k-1}{r-1} \binom{\ell-1}{k} \\ &= \sum_{k'=r-1}^{\ell-1} (-1)^{k'-(r-1)} \binom{k'}{r-1} \binom{\ell-1}{k'} + 1 = 0 + 1 = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from  $m = \ell$ ,  $\binom{\ell}{k} = \binom{\ell-1}{k-1} + \binom{\ell-1}{k}$  for  $r \leq k \leq \ell - 1$ , and  $\binom{\ell}{\ell} = \binom{\ell-1}{\ell-1}$ , the second equality follows from the induction hypothesis, and the third equality follows from (10). Thus, Lemma 5 holds in this case.

*Case 3:*  $m \leq \ell - 1$ . In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k-1}{r-1} \binom{\ell}{k} &= \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k-1}{r-1} \binom{\ell-1}{k-1} + \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k-1}{r-1} \binom{\ell-1}{k} \\ &= \sum_{k'=r-1}^{m-1} (-1)^{k'-(r-1)} \binom{k'}{r-1} \binom{\ell-1}{k'} + \left( 1 + (-1)^{m-r} \sum_{u=m}^{\ell-2} \binom{u}{r-1} \binom{u-r}{m-r} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{(m-1)-(r-1)} \binom{\ell-1}{r-1} \binom{(\ell-1)-(r-1)-1}{(m-1)-(r-1)} + \left( 1 + (-1)^{m-r} \sum_{u=m}^{\ell-2} \binom{u}{r-1} \binom{u-r}{m-r} \right) \\ &= 1 + (-1)^{m-r} \sum_{u=m}^{\ell-1} \binom{u}{r-1} \binom{u-r}{m-r}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from the induction hypothesis and the third equality follows from (10). Thus, Lemma 5 holds in this case.  $\blacksquare$

**(Proof of Theorem 3)** Let  $B_J$  be given as in the proof of Theorem 1 for all  $J \subseteq [n]$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k-1}{r-1} S_k &= \sum_{\ell=r}^m \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq [n] \\ |J|=\ell}} \left( \sum_{k=r}^{\ell} (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k-1}{r-1} \binom{\ell}{k} \right) P(B_J) + \sum_{\ell=m+1}^n \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq [n] \\ |J|=\ell}} \left( \sum_{k=r}^m (-1)^{k-r} \binom{k-1}{r-1} \binom{\ell}{k} \right) P(B_J) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=r}^m \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq [n] \\ |J|=\ell}} 1 \cdot P(B_J) + \sum_{\ell=m+1}^n \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq [n] \\ |J|=\ell}} \left( 1 + (-1)^{m-r} \sum_{u=m}^{\ell-1} \binom{u}{r-1} \binom{u-r}{m-r} \right) P(B_J) \\ &= P(F_r) + (-1)^{m-r} \sum_{\ell=m+1}^n \sum_{u=m}^{\ell-1} \binom{u}{r-1} \binom{u-r}{m-r} P(E_{\ell}), \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from the same arguments leading to (11) and the second equality follows from (14) in Lemma 5 and  $P(F_r) = \sum_{\ell=r}^n P(E_{\ell})$ .  $\blacksquare$

## References

- [1] J. Galambos and I. Simonelli, *Bonferroni-type Inequalities with Applications*, New York, NY: Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [2] C. Jordan, “De quelques formules de probabilité,” *Comptes Rendus Academy Science, Paris*, 65 (1867), 993–994.
- [3] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, vol. I, 3rd ed., New York, NY: Wiley & Sons, 1968.
- [4] F. S. Roberts and B. Tesman, *Applied Combinatorics*, 2nd ed., Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2009.
- [5] D. R. Mazur, *Combinatorics: A Guided Tour*, Washington, DC: The Mathematical Association of America, 2010.
- [6] J. H. van Lint and R. M. Wilson, *A Course in Combinatorics*, 2nd ed., Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [7] C. E. Bonferroni, “Teoria statistica delle classi e calcolo delle probabilitá,” *Pubblicazioni del R. Istituto Superiore di Scienze Economiche e Commerciali di Firenze*, 8 (1936), 1–62.