## EE306001, Probability, Fall 2012

## Quiz #2, Problems and Solutions

**Prob. 1:** A closet contains 10 pairs of shoes, If 8 shoes are randomly selected, what is the probability that there will be

- (a) no complete pair?
- (b) exactly three complete pairs?

You have to specify the sample space S, the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  and the probability function P. You can use the notation such as  $\binom{m}{n}$  or  $C_n^m$  in your final answers.

Solution. Model all shoes in the closet as a set

$$A = \{l_i, r_i | \forall 1 \le i \le 10, i \in N\},\$$

where  $l_i$  and  $r_j$  is a complete pair of shoes if i = j. The sample space S of the experiment that selects 8 shoes randomly from the closet is

$$S = \{\omega \subset A | |\omega| = 8\}$$
  
=\{\{s\_1, s\_2, s\_3, s\_4, s\_5, s\_6, s\_7, s\_8\} | s\_i \in A, \text{ and } s\_i \neq s\_j \text{ if } i \neq j, \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq 8\},

The  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F} = 2^S$  is the power set of S. The probability function P is the counting probability measure on  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

$$P(E) = \frac{|E|}{|S|}, \ \forall E \in \mathcal{F}.$$

(a) Let  $E_1 \in \mathcal{F}$  be the event that no complete pair occurs, i.e.,  $E_1 = \{\text{no complete pair}\}$ . Then,

$$|E_1| = \binom{10}{8} 2^8.$$

Therefore,

$$P(E_1) = \frac{|E_1|}{|S|} = \frac{\binom{10}{8}2^8}{\binom{20}{8}}.$$

(b) Let  $E_2 \in \mathcal{F}$  be the event that there are exactly three complete pairs, i.e.,  $E_2 = \{\text{exactly three complete pairs}\}$ . Then

$$|E_2| = \binom{10}{3} \binom{7}{2} 2^2.$$

Therefore,

$$P(E_2) = \frac{|E_2|}{|S|} = \frac{\binom{10}{3}\binom{7}{2}2^2}{\binom{20}{8}}.$$

## **Prob. 2:** Prove Boole's inequality:

$$P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i)$$

**Solution.** From the first inclusion-exclusion inequality, we have

$$P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(A_i), \ \forall \ n \ge 1.$$

$$(1)$$

The above formula can be proved by mathematical induction as follows:

(i) **Basis step**: For n = 1, it is true that  $P(A_1) = P(A_1)$ . For n = 2, we have

$$P(A_1 \cup A_2) = P(A_1) + P(A_2) - P(A_1 \cap A_2)$$
  
  $\leq P(A_1) + P(A_2).$ 

(ii) **Induction step**: Assume that (??) is true when n = k, i.e.,

$$P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} A_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} P(A_i).$$

Then

$$P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i) = P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} A_i \cup A_{k+1}) \le P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} A_i) + P(A_{k+1}) \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} P(A_i) + P(A_{k+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} P(A_i).$$

By the mathematical induction theorem, we prove (??). Now, we define an increasing sequence of events  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n, \ldots$ , where

$$B_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i, \ \forall n \ge 1.$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} B_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n.$$

Therefore,

$$P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = P(\lim_{n \to \infty} B_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(B_n) \text{ by the continuity of the probability function}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(A_i) \text{ by (??)}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i).$$

## Prob. 3:

Let  $\{E_k\}$  be a sequence of events such that

$$\mathcal{P}(E_k) = 1, \ \forall \ k = 1, 2, \cdots$$

Show that

$$\mathcal{P}\Big(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\Big) = \mathcal{P}\Big(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\Big) = 1$$

Solution.

 $\mathcal{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = 1$  is trivial since  $E_1 \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$  implies

$$1 = \mathcal{P}(E_1) \leqslant \mathcal{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) \leqslant 1.$$

Let  $F_m = \bigcap_{k=1}^m E_k$ , then  $F_1 \supset F_2 \supset F_3 \supset \cdots \supset F_m \supset \cdots$ , and

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} F_m = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} F_m = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^m E_k\right) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k.$$

By the continuity property of probability function,

$$\mathcal{P}\Big(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\Big) = \mathcal{P}\Big(\lim_{m \to \infty} F_m\Big) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \mathcal{P}\Big(F_m\Big) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \mathcal{P}\Big(\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} E_k\Big).$$

Since  $\mathcal{P}(E_1 \cup E_2) = 1$ , we have

$$\mathcal{P}(E_1 \cap E_2) = \mathcal{P}(E_1) + \mathcal{P}(E_2) - \mathcal{P}(E_1 \cup E_2) = 1 + 1 - 1 = 1.$$

Similarly,  $\mathcal{P}((E_1 \cap E_2) \cup E_3) = 1$ , and we also have

$$\mathcal{P}(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E_3) = \mathcal{P}(E_1 \cap E_2) + \mathcal{P}(E_3) - \mathcal{P}((E_1 \cap E_2) \cup E_3) = 1 + 1 - 1 = 1.$$

Continuing this process, we have  $\mathcal{P}(\bigcap_{k=1}^n E_k) = 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore

$$\mathcal{P}\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{P}\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{n} E_k\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} 1 = 1.$$

3

Another proof by using Boole's inequality.

Let 
$$F_m = \bigcap_{k=1}^m E_k$$

**Remark.** It's incorrect that  $\mathcal{P}(E) = 1$  implies E = S.